

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 29 and 36 have been amended to correct grammatical errors and present the claims in better form for allowance and for possible consideration on appeal. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to accept the proposed amendments. No claims have been cancelled or added. Therefore, claims 1-28, 30-35 and 37-38 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

Amended claim 29 discloses, “stets of instructions”. There seems to be a grammatical error in the claim.

Claim 29 has been amended which obviates the objection. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the objection of claim 29.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection

Claims 1, 5, 12, 16, 20, 24, 29, 33 and 37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Banerjee et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,292,181 (“Banerjee”).

Banerjee discloses a “mobile interface device *receiving input from a stylus . . .* to remotely control application programs running on a host computer. An embodiment of such an *interface device includes a pen-based graphical interface* which communicates with an operating system on the host computer. In that embodiment, the operating system on the host computer has *handwriting recognition capability*.” (Abstract; emphasis provided). Banerjee merely provides *handwriting recognition capability* that is used to receive inputs from a stylus via a pen-based graphical interface.

In contrast, claim 1, recites

A portable electronic device comprising:

a wireless communication module to communicate with a separate access device in a wireless fashion;
a data storage module to store emulation data to emulate an electronic apparatus;
and
a controller to control the communication of the emulation data to the access device to output to a user to emulate the electronic apparatus.
(emphasis provided)

Banerjee does not teach or reasonably suggest a portable electronic device wirelessly communicating with a separate access device, emulation data to emulate an electronic apparatus, and the communication of the emulation data to the access device to output to a user to emulate the electronic apparatus, as recited by claim 1. Banerjee does not each or reasonably suggest each and every element of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Claims 12, 20 and 29 contain limitations similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 12, 20 and 29 and their dependent claims.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 2-7, 13-15, 21-23 and 30-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable in view of Banerjee.

Claims 2-7, 13-15, 21-23 and 30-32 depend from one of claims 1, 12, 20 and 29 and thus include all the limitations of their base claim. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2-7, 13-15, 21-23 and 30-32.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.


Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 11-29-05


Aslam A. Jaffery
Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
7th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025-1030
(303) 740-1980